

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,302	09/11/2003	Nobumasa Suzuki	P24196	3567
7055	7590 05/25/2006		EXAM	INER
	LUM & BERNSTEIN, AND CLARKE PLACE	SHAFFER, RICHARD R		
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			3733	
		DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\gamma \gamma$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/659,302	SUZUKI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Richard R. Shaffer	3733			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a rept d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tte, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 I</u>	March 2006.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Thi	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
, 					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex paπe Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.	·				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 March 2006</u> is/are:					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
	zammer. Note the attached t				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documer		-liAi Al-			
2. Certified copies of the priority documer3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri- application from the International Burea		scerved in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , ,	eceived.			
	•				
Attachment(s)	·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	<i>.</i> —	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/5/05, 12/27/05.		rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it does not clearly (unconventional language choice and poor grammar) describe the invention and distinctly focus upon that which the applicant feels is different over the prior art. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 1
[Cross Reference to Related Applications]: "prior Japanese Patent Applications" should be "prior Japanese Patent Application" Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "rod portion" twice; neither have antecedent basis for a rod. The recitation of a rod fixing apparatus does not require a rod to be present. If applicant desires to positively recite the structure of a rod, applicant must claim a rod in combination to a pressure fixing device and protruding portions. Claim 2 has been rejected for being dependent upon a claim rejected under 35 UCS 112.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "rod" and again lacks antecedent basis for a rod. Follow the instructions set forth above in order to claim a rod in combination properly.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jackson (US Patent 6,110,172).

Jackson discloses a bone screw assembly (1, Figure 1) comprising a pressure-fixing device (3 and 4) for fixing the spinal rod (15) within the head portion (7) and protruding portions (17) that engage the spinal rod (15) along on at both end sides as well as acting as a rough surface along the length of the bone screw (2).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard R. Shaffer whose telephone number is 571-272-8683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday during (7am-5pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/659,302

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 4

Richard Shaffer November 28th, 2005

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

The amendments to the specification filed on March 6th, 2006 is acknowledged and accepted by the examiner. The objections have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The amendments to the claims filed on March 6th, 2006 is acknowledged and accepted by the examiner. The rejections have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jackson (US Patent 6,110,172).

Jackson discloses a device comprising: a screw portion (8); a head portion (7) with a groove (10) to receive the rod (15); an engagement portion (23) for accepting the fixing device (3 and 4) which fixes the rod; and small projections (17) which will eat into the rod, provided on both sides of the groove (left and right or front and back) extending from the left portion to the right portion when the rod extends along the front and back

axis. When the fixing device (3 and 4) fix the rod (15) on the protrusions (17), it will in effect roughen the surface in contact with the protrusions (17).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 6th, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As better covered in the rejection of this office action, the amended language can still be reasonably interpreted to read upon the prior art of Jackson especially in terms of the protruding portions where it can be interpreted that they extend in two different directions, one of which is still perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the spinal rod.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3733

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard R. Shaffer whose telephone number is 571-272-8683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday during (7am-5pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard Shaffer Richard Shaffer May 13th, 2006

> EDUARDÓ C. ROBERT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER